



Water Resource Planning Systems Series

SUB-SERIES NO. WQP 1.5.1

Resource Directed Management of Water Quality

Volume 2.1 Summary Strategy



August 2006

Edition 1

Published by

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
Private Bag X313
PRETORIA, 0001
Republic of South Africa

Tel: (012) 336 7500/ +27 12 336 7500 Fax: (012) 336 6731/ +27 12 336 6731

Copyright reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner without full acknowledgement of the source

ISBN No. 0-621-36789-3

This report should be cited as:

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2006. *Resource Directed Management of Water Quality: Volume 2.1: Summary Strategy.* Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-series No. WQP 1.5.1. Edition 1. ISBN No. 0-621-36789-3. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.

Strategy formulation by:

Project Co-ordination by:

Insight Modelling Services CC PO Box 38953 Garsfontein East 0060

CSIR: Natural Resources and the Environment (NRE)
PO Box 395
Pretoria
0001

DOCUMENT INDEX

Reports as part of this project:

REPORT NUMBER	REPORT TITLE
1.1	Inception Report
1.2	National and International Literature Survey and Contextual Review
1.3	Glossary of terminology often used in the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.4	Volume 1: Policy Document Series
1.4.1	Volume 1.1: Summary Policy
1.4.2	Volume 1.2: Policy on the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.5	Strategy Document Series
1.5.1	Volume 2.1: Summary Strategy
1.5.2	Volume 2.2: Strategy for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.5.3	Volume 3: Institutional arrangements for Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.6	1st Edition Management Instruments Series (Prototype Protocol)
1.6.1	Conceptual Review of water use licence applications in the context of the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.6.2	Guidelines on Catchment Visioning for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.6.3.1	Guideline for determining Resource Water Quality Objectives (RWQOs), water quality stress & allocatable water quality
1.6.3.2	Guideline on the conversion of the SA Water Quality Guidelines to fitness-for-use categories
1.6.3.3	Guideline for converting RWQOs to individual end-of-pipe standards
1.6.3.4	Decision-support instrument for the determination of RWQOs, water quality stress, allocatable water quality & end-of-pipe standards
1.6.4	Decision-support instrument for the Assessment of Considerations for Water Use Applications (ACWUA)
1.6.5	Guideline on pro-forma licence conditions for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.7	Volume 4: 2 nd Edition Management Instruments Series
1.7.1	Volume 4.1: Guidelines on Catchment Visioning for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.7.2	Volume 4.2: Guideline for determining Resource Water Quality Objectives (RWQOs), water quality stress & allocatable water quality
1.7.2.1	RWQOs Model and User Guide
1.7.3	Volume 4.3: Guideline on Monitoring and for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.7.4	Project Document: Resource Directed Management of Water Quality: Philosophy of Sustainable Development
1.7.5	Project Document: Guidelines for Setting Licence Conditions for Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.7.6	Introduction to the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality
1.8	Implementation Plan

Bold type indicates this report

APPROVAL

TITLE: Resource Directed Management of Water Quality Series:

Volume 2.1: Summary Strategy

DATE: August 2006

AUTHORS: Kevin Murray and Constantin von der Heyden

PEER REVIEW: Peter Ashton

TECHNICAL REVIEW: Pieter Viljoen, Jurgo van Wyk and Retha Stassen

EDITORS: Hanlie Hattingh, Retha Stassen and Jurgo van Wyk

LEAD CONSULTANT: CSIR NRE

SUB-SERIES NO.: WQP 1.5.1

ISBN NO.: 0-621-36789-3

FILE NO.: 16/3/4/96

FORMAT: MSWord and PDF

WEB ADDRESS: www.dwaf.gov.za

Approved for CSIR, NRE:

Ms Hanlie Hattingh CSIR Project Leader and Manager

> Dr Dirk Roux CSIR Project Co-Leader

Approved for the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry by:

Mr Pieter Viljoen

Deputy Director: Water Resource Planning Systems: Water Quality Planning

Mr Chris Moseki

Director: Water Resource Planning Systems

Sustainable Development

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following individuals are thanked for their contributions to the document:

Project Management Committee

Pieter Viljoen Department of Water Affairs & Forestry Project Manager

Jurgo van WykDepartment of Water Affairs & ForestryAssistant Project ManagerRetha StassenDepartment of Water Affairs & ForestryProject Co-ordinatorDirk RouxCSIR NREConsultant Project LeaderHanlie HattinghCSIR NREConsultant Project Manager

Project Team

Kevin Murray Insight Modelling Services Policy Formulation
Constantin von der Heyden Pegram & Associates Co-operative Governance
Marius Claassen CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Risk-Based Decision-Making

Martella du Preez CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Monitoring

Linda Godfrey CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Sustainability Indicators, RDM

Nicola King CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Socio-economics
Kevin Murray Insight Modelling Services Policy Formulation
Guy Pegram Pegram & Associates Co-operative Governance

Michelle Binedell CSIR: NRE

Specialist Review

Pieter Viljoen Department of Water Affairs & Forestry Water Resource Planning Systems

Jurgo van Wyk Department of Water Affairs & Forestry Water Resource Planning Systems

Peter Ashton CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Policy

Michelle Audouin CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment Policy, Sustainable Development

Susan Taljaard CSIR: Natural Resources and Environment RDM Estuaries

Tally Palmer Institute for Water Research Policy

Maritza Uys Legal Aspects

Members of Project Steering Committees

Althea van der Merwe DWAF: Mpumalanga Regional Office

Anet Muir DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use (Environment & Recreation)

Anthony Turton Gibb-Sera Chair in IWRM (CSIR)

Ashwin Seetal DWAF: Water Allocation

Barbara Schreiner

Barbara Weston

Bettie Conradie

Bill Rowlston

Carin Bosman

Chris Moseki

DWAF: Policy and Regulation Branch

DWAF: Resource Directed Measures

DWAF: Northern Cape Regional Office

DWAF: Policy and Strategy Coordination

DWAF: Resource Protection and Waste

DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Cornelius Ruiters DWAF: Water Use

Danie Smit Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism

Dawie Maree DWAF: Gauteng Regional Office

Derek Weston DWAF: Water Management Institution Governance

Dirk Roux CSIR: NRE

Eddie van Wyk

DWAF: Hydrological Information

Elize Swart (NC Khoza)

Department of Minerals & Energy

Ernita van Wyk CSIR: NRE

Eustathia Bofilatos DWAF: Water Management Institution Governance

Fanie Botha DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Frank Wimberley Golder Associates: Source Directed Measures Consultant

Frans Stoffberg DWAF: National Water Resources Planning

Gareth McConkey DWAF: Western Cape Regional Office

Guy Pegram Pegasus

Gys Hoon DWAF: Free State Regional Office

Hanlie Hattingh CSIR: NRE

Harrison Pienaar DWAF: Resource Directed Measures

Henry Abbott DWAF: Resource Protection and Waste (Waste Discharge & Disposal)

Herman Keuris
Hugh Dixon-Paver
Jaco Nel
Jacob Matlala
Jean Msiza
DWAF: Information Programmes
DWAF: KwaZulu Natal Regional Office
DWAF: Hydrological Information
DWAF: Limpopo Regional Office
DWAF: Stakeholder Empowerment
DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Kevin Murray Insight Modelling Services

Liesl Hill CSIR: NRE Linda Godfrey CSIR: NRE

Loraine Fick DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use

M Phaloane Nunganie Development Consultant

Manda Hinsch DWAF: Resource Protection and Waste (Waste Discharge & Disposal)

Maria Matooane DWAF: Free State Regional Office

Marius Claassen CSIR: NRE

Marius Keet DWAF: Gauteng Regional Office Marlese Nel DWAF: Information Programmes

Martin van Veelen BKS

Mike Warren DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use
Minolen Reddy DWAF: Mpumalanga Regional Office
Mzuvukile Tonjeni DWAF: Eastern Cape Regional Office

Nancy Gcwensa Department of Health Nicky Naidoo Nemai Consulting

Niel van Wyk

Obet Baloyi

DWAF: National Water Resources Planning

DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use

Peter van Niekerk

DWAF: Integrated Water Resources Planning

Petrus Venter DWAF: North West Regional Office

Piet Pretorius DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use
Pieter Viljoen DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems
Priya Moodley DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Retha Stassen DWAF Project Co-ordinator
Riana Munnik DWAF: Gauteng Regional Office

Sakkie van der Westhuizen DWAF: Resource Protection and Waste (Waste Discharge & Disposal)

Sebastian Jooste DWAF: Resource Quality Services

Simon Moganetsi DWAF: Water Abstraction and Instream Use

Solly Maluleke Department of Land Affairs

Sonia Veltman DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Steve Mitchell Water Research Commission

Suzan Oelofse DWAF: Water Resource Planning Systems

Tinyiko Malungani CSIR: NRE

Toni Belcher DWAF: Western Cape Regional Office Thagala R Mgogsheng DWAF: Limpopo Regional Office

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

"Making water resource management water quality friendly"

Policy implementation

This strategy provides the general implementation plan for the resource directed management of water quality policy ("the Policy") (DWAF, 2005a). It describes "who should do what by when" and is presented in a way that explicitly links the Policy and its principles with specific management approaches and instruments to facilitate its practical implementation.

Sustainable development

The link between the adaptive "Plan-Implement-Check-Review" cycle of Integrated Water Quality Management (IWQM) and sustainable development is described as well as how this plays out at various levels (varying from short-term to long-term).

An approach to balancing the principles of sustainable development is also proposed. In particular, how this should be done to achieve socio-economic development is presented. An example is also presented of how strict protection of ecosystems can be achieved where appropriate. A simple familiarisation plan for inexperienced practitioners is also proposed.

Institutional arrangements

How the institutions will evolve during the phased decentralisation of water management roles and responsibilities from the Department to Clusters and catchment management agencies (CMAs) is described. Specific challenges and institutional implications are identified. The phases include:

- Phase 1: Post restructuring (current,
- Phase 2: Decentralisation to Clusters.
- Phase 3: CMAs establishment, and
- Phase 4: Fully functional CMAs.

Roles and responsibilities within the Department for IWQM management functions are presented as well as roles played by external stakeholders, and local, regional and national government departments.

The various facets of institutional capacity are also identified.

Summary strategies

Summary strategies are provided for a series of well-defined scenarios. In each case, the reader is (a) referred to associated enabling principles in the Policy and (b) provided with references to guidelines and further reading that will provide the necessary detail.

Scenarios include:

- Catchment assessment,
- Catchment visioning,
- Determining resource directed measures (RDM), including addressing issues of confidence, and water quality variables of concern, and

 Giving effect to RDM, including developing catchment management strategies, attaining a management class, maintaining a management class, managing point and non-point sources, water use authorisation, long-term non-compliance with resource quality objectives (RQOs), non-compliance with licence conditions and remediation.

Water quality monitoring is also addressed.

Capacity creation and maintenance

Capacity creation for the short-term and long-term as well as internal and external to DWAF is described. The short-term strategy focuses on empowering external stakeholders and creating knowledgeable DWAF staff. The long-term strategy focuses on adapting to changing external stakeholder demands (for awareness and empowerment) and refining and improving the capabilities of DWAF staff. The ultimate aim is to facilitate creation of a learning institution in which appropriate knowledge is created.

A three-dimensional approach to empowering DWAF staff for sustainable management is also proposed (objective empowerment, competence, and subjective empowerment).

Action Plan

An immediate action plan is proposed to "kick start" appropriate data collection and information generation in support of resource directed management of water quality. It is based on defining resource water quality objectives (RWQOs) in priority catchments that can begin to generate nationally consistent water quality information in anticipation of determination of RQOs when the classification system is developed.

The development of a detailed capacity creation plan is also recommended.

Management approaches

A brief summarised description is also provided (in the appendix) of management approaches available to IWQM practitioners. These include:

- Regulatory (general authorisations, command-and-control ad hoc licensing, compulsory licensing, RQOs, Reserve, directives, etc. – , and economic – pricing strategy, waste discharge charge system), and
- Non-regulatory (civil society, self-regulatory, and supportive).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOC	UMENT	INDEX	I
APPF	ROVAL.		
		DGEMENTS	
		SUMMARY	
		CONTENTS	
		ONILNIS	
ACR	OIN Y IVIS	······································	. VIII
SEC	TION 1:	: SUMMARY STRATEGY	1
		ction	
		nable development	
	1.2.1	Integrated water quality management	1
	1.2.2	Balancing the principles	
1.3	Institutio	onal arrangements	
	1.3.1	Phase institutional change	
	1.3.2	Management effort	2
	1.3.3	Institutional implications	
	1.3.4	Institutional roles	
	1.3.5	Institutional capacity	
1.4	Impleme	entation strategies	
	1.4.1	Catchment assessment	
	1.4.2	Catchment visioning	
	1.4.3	Resource directed measures	
		1.4.3.1 General issues	
		1.4.3.2 Determining the resource management class and RQOs	
		1.4.3.3 Giving effect to RDM	
		1.4.3.4 Catchment management strategies	
		1.4.3.5 Attaining a management class	
		1.4.3.6 Maintaining a management class	
		1.4.3.7 Managing point sources	
		1.4.3.9 Water use authorisation	
		1.4.3.10 Long-term non-compliance with RQOs	
		1.4.3.11 Non-compliance with licence conditions	
		1.4.3.12 Remediation	
	1.4.4	Water quality monitoring	
	1.4.5	Capacity creation and maintenance	
	1.4.6	Action plan	
		1.4.6.1 Resource water quality objectives	
		1.4.6.2 Capacity creation	
SEC	TION 2:	: REFERENCES	9

ACRONYMS

CMAs Catchment Management Agency
CMS Catchment Management Strategy
CSD Committee for Sustainable Development
DBSA Development Bank of South Africa

DEAT Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism

DME Department of Minerals & Energy
DoA Department of Agriculture
DTI Department of Trade & Industry
DWAF Department of Water Affairs & Forestry

ECA Environment Conservation Act
EMS Environmental Management System
EMPR Environmental Management Plan Report

IDPs Integrated Development Plan

ISO International Organization for Standardization

ISP Internal Strategic Perspective

IWQM Integrated Water Quality Management
IWRM Integrated Water Resource Management
NQF National Qualifications Framework

NWA (36:1998) National Water Act

NWRS National Water Resource Strategy

P&R Policy and Regulation

PGDS Provincial Growth and Development Strategy

PSIR Pressure-State-Impact-Response RDM Resource Directed Measures

RDMWQ Resource Directed Management of Water Quality

RO Regional Office (DWAF)

RQOs Resource Quality Objective

RWQOs Resource Water Quality Objective

SAQA South African Qualifications Authority

SDCs Source Directed Controls
SMO Source Management Objective
WDCS Waste Discharge Charge System
WMIs Water Management Institutions

WQ Water Quality

WQM Water Quality Management
WRM Water Resource Management
WSDPs Water Services Development Plan

SECTION 1: SUMMARY STRATEGY

1.1 Introduction

The objective of this strategy is the implementation of the resource directed management of water quality policy ("the Policy") (DWAF, 2005) of the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry ("the Department"). It addresses "who should do what by when", explicitly linking the Policy to management approaches and management instruments to facilitate its practical and pragmatic implementation. It is also the intention that, in some contexts, this strategy presents a first level of interpretation of the policy.

Simultaneous review of this strategy with the Policy every five years in respect of objectives and effectiveness is recommended.

1.2 Sustainable development

1.2.1 Integrated water quality management

Integrated water quality management should be implemented in a cyclical process aimed at continual improvement (fundamental to the principle of adaptive management). This cycle occurs at a number of different levels. They range from individual (local) source and resource management initiatives (short-term) through re-consideration of the catchment management strategy (medium-term) to re-consideration of the resource directed measures and vision (long-term).

The principles of sustainable development apply at all stages. However, the designated resource management class is the 'first line of defence' against development that is unsustainable. The 'second line of defence' is embodied in the catchment management strategy and its implementation through resource directed measures, individual source directed controls and resource management initiatives.

1.2.2 Balancing the principles

The emphasis placed by the Policy on socio-economic development means that particular attention must be paid to the principles of current equitable access, optimal water use and environmental integration.

Current equitable access is achieved by first determining the Reserve and then allocating water quality to national priorities. The remainder is then allocated equitably, and with emphasis on optimal water use, to other users. Less emphasis is given to protection of water resources by accepting some degree of impact though not to the extent that the resource becomes unacceptably degraded and unsustainable.

When strict protection of water resources is warranted, the resource will typically be classified as Natural. In this case, it is the principles of protection of water resources, and by implication, equity between generations, that receive most emphasis. Importantly, this does not preclude other water uses. However, these water uses must be such that their impact on water quality is minimal and well within the ability of the ecosystem to sustain the provision of goods and services in order to maintain the management class.

As an example of how this emphasis might be applied, a related strategic national recommendation has been made in respect of freshwater biodiversity (DWAF, 2005n).

Balancing sustainable development principles is complex. To gradually increase the familiarity of practitioners with these principles, it is recommended that less experienced practitioners should first simply practice identifying what factors and actions refer to which principle. With experience, the explicit application of these principles in resource directed measures will become more evident.

1.3 Institutional arrangements

1.3.1 Phase institutional change

Institutional arrangements are dominated by transition to full decentralisation to catchment management agencies (CMAs) over four phases: *Phase 1* follows the recent restructuring of DWAF. Decision-making is shared between P&R and Regional Clusters, while systems are being developed and piloted. *Phase 2* is characterised by completion, or near-completion, of piloting and the establishment of stable macro-systems. As a result, further decision-making and implementation is transferred to the Regional Clusters, while the proto-CMAs take on those current regional cluster functions that centre on water use management and coordination. In *Phase 3* proto-CMAs are transferred, along with their functions and staff, from DWAF into the CMAs within the first 2 years. The CMAs are then formally established. In *Phase 4*, fully functional CMAs are established, with the majority of WRM implementation roles and responsibilities within the CMAs (including Responsible Authority functions).

1.3.2 Management effort

In Phases 1 and 2, management effort is reasonably evenly spread between P&R, Clusters and proto-CMAs. In Phase 3, with the establishment of the CMAs, all roles and responsibilities of the proto-CMAs are transferred to the CMAs along with a continuing shift of roles and responsibilities from P&R to Clusters to CMAs. P&R takes on new responsibilities centred on oversight and support – co-ordination, collaboration and transfer of information between CMAs, Clusters and P&R. In Phase 4, the CMAs is established and stabilised and now bears the majority of water quality management effort.

1.3.3 Institutional implications

Phases 2 and 3 will present the greatest challenges relating to capacity. Broad institutional capacity building will be required. When the CMAs become established, mentoring by DWAF and the Clusters will be particularly important.

1.3.4 Institutional roles

Water quality management inherently requires the management of activities and resources that are the mandate of other government departments or property of private sector entities. Key institutions include stakeholders, and local, regional and national government departments.

1.3.5 Institutional capacity

The process for building institutional capacity should (a) be carefully planned, and (b) establish coherent, simple and stable systems, introducing as much routine as available the capacity is able to deal with.

1.4 Implementation strategies

Resource directed management of water quality can occur in a very wide variety of contexts. The following sub-sections briefly summarise strategies in well-defined scenarios.

1.4.1 Catchment assessment

Catchment assessments should engage with stakeholders constructively, take cognisance of legislation such as the Promotion of Access to Information Act (2:2000), be appropriately integrative in their data collections and assessment, and be pragmatic in the allocation of financial and human resources according to the level of confidence required.

1.4.2 Catchment visioning

Catchment visioning is an indispensable component of this strategy and integrated water resource management in general. Although this strategy primarily addresses water quality management, visioning must encompass resource quality holistically and clearly identify how water quality issues contribute.

The context of the vision must be principle-based and should be the strategy to move towards the vision. Relevant legislation goes well beyond the National Water Act (36:1998). Stakeholders must be engaged in a way that (a) facilitates meaningful contributions, and (b) develops a sense of buy-in and, preferably, ownership. Catchment visioning initiatives should be carried out to a level of confidence appropriate to the circumstances.

1.4.3 Resource directed measures

1.4.3.1 General issues

The necessary degree of confidence required to determine of the management class, resource quality objectives (RQOs) and the Reserve must be determined by considering factors relating to:

- The immediate purpose of the RDM sub-process,
- The present ecological state,
- Potential changes in water quality, and
- Potential impacts of changes in water quality (e.g. relating to the ecological, social and economic sensitivity).

Appropriate water quality variables ('variables of concern') must be identified, which depend on:

- The nature of the individual water uses and their impacts,
- · Ecosystem requirements, and
- The RQOs (both narrative and quantitative) that may exist.

The chosen variables must be:

- Representative of the water quality that matters the most to overall ecosystem health,
- Socially relevant and acceptable (e.g. relating to human health),
- Economically appropriate, and
- Institutionally sound and consistent across organisations.

1.4.3.2 Determining the resource management class and RQOs

Stakeholders must be empowered to make meaningful contributions. Specifically in respect of water quality, they must be sufficiently well-informed in respect of:

- The meaning and value of water quality in respect of (a) constituents, and (b) associated ecological responses and social and economic impacts of worsening water quality.
- The relationship between aquatic ecosystems and water quality, and
- The effects of their water uses on water quality and hence downstream users.

To facilitate integration across catchments, account must be taken of current and potential impacts upstream, downstream and on catchments receiving or donating water via inter-basin transfers.

Care should be taken to ensure that achievable RQOs (relating to water quality) are defined.

1.4.3.3 Giving effect to RDM

In general, the RDM need to be translated into strategies and actions that:

- Achieve the objectives set for the water resource,
- Manage causes of adverse impacts on water quality, guided by RQOs, resource water quality objectives (RWQOs) and source management objectives, the latter given effect through source directed controls (SDCs), and
- Remediate water resources where necessary.

The following sub-sections provide examples.

1.4.3.4 Catchment management strategies

The catchment management strategy (CMS) is the operational strategy that gives effect to RDM. The development of the CMS must be issues driven and aligned with Water Services Development Plans (WSDPs) and Integrated Development Plans (IDPs).

A water quality framework plan must form part of the CMS. It must include a water quality allocation plan that allocates the source management objective (SMO) load reductions (or increases) to priority sectors in the catchment. These must be based on resource water quality objectives (RWQOs) that support the attainment of RQOs.

1.4.3.5 Attaining a management class

In catchments that are stressed in respect of water quality, the first step is to establish a performance monitoring programme that quantifies the degree of stress. The strategy is primarily one of reactive management to minimise current impacts by engaging individual water users or responsible authorities. Specific management approaches include compulsory licensing, directives, strict regulation, prohibition of land use, remediation, waste discharge charge system, and encouraging general cooperation and awareness.

1.4.3.6 Maintaining a management class

In catchments that are unstressed in respect of water quality, allocatable water quality must still be sensibly distributed among water users, while taking due consideration of all the enabling principles of sustainable development. The strategy is mainly one of proactive management to ensure the water quality impacts of new developments are within the capacity of the water resource to absorb these inputs. Reactive management is likely to be necessary to ensure existing water users maintain their impacts on water quality within agreed limits. Regular assessment of monitoring data should be undertaken to determine when reactive management is necessary.

1.4.3.7 Managing point sources

The catchment management strategy will dictate the general nature of the required source directed controls. However, it is specifically the RQOs and RWQOs in place that determine the precise actions to be taken. Use of appropriate existing guidelines and Best Practices relating to the water use and, in particular, water resource protection should be encouraged, especially for new water users. The general strategy in respect of self-regulatory mechanisms is to encourage the adoption of ISO 14000 standards with the aim of increasing in-house responsible environmental management. New uses must be in accord with the catchment vision and associated RDM and can only be authorised if there exists allocatable water quality. After a licence is issued, a compliance monitoring programme must be established as soon as possible. However, complying with such licence conditions should never be regarded as guaranteeing attainment of RQOs.

1.4.3.8 Managing non-point sources

The overall management strategy is to place emphasis on improved management of the overall land use causing water quality impacts. In general, the approach used to manage the water quality effects of dense settlements should be used as a basis for dealing with non-point sources responsible for water quality problems. This entails engaging with the responsible authorities and reaching agreement on appropriate interventions.

1.4.3.9 Water use authorisation

The general strategy must be to streamline processing of water use authorisations, preferably using a simple screening protocol that will fast-track granting of water use authorisations when impacts are likely to be low.

The choice of end-of-pipe licence conditions relating to water quality for users discharging water containing waste into the water resource should depend on the degree of water quality stress. If the water resource has significant allocatable water quality (*i.e.* is not stressed or threatened), then end-of-pipe licence conditions can be based on effluent standards, although the applicant will typically not be allocated all that is available.

If the water resource is only slightly unstressed (*i.e.* threatened), then end-of-pipe licence conditions can be based on at least the following considerations:

- End-of-pipe effluent uniform national minimum requirements or standards (should they exist).
- End-of-pipe effluent targets back-calculated from downstream RWQOs or RQOs (DWAF, 2005j).

Effective use must be made of available software decision support (e.g. DWAF, 2005m).

1.4.3.10 Long-term non-compliance with RQOs

The following strategy should be applied when there is consistent non-achievement of RQOs over long periods (five years). First, the appropriateness of the source directed controls should be investigated. For example:

- Consider whether (a) National Water Act Schedule 1 uses or (b) uses occurring under general authorisations may be responsible.
- If uses under general authorisations are causing problems, also consider changing the conditions for defining general authorisations to make them stricter in that area (following due process).
- Also examine whether or not water users, especially those discharging waste into the water resource, are taking all reasonable steps to minimise their impacts.
- Consider the possibility of illegal water use.

If the degree of source management is considered adequate, then consider whether or not the determination of the RQOs was based on a water quality dataset that was sufficiently representative of the resource.

If the water quality dataset used for the RQOs is considered to be sufficiently representative of current times, then the appropriateness of the class itself can be questioned and revised if necessary (again following due process).

1.4.3.11 Non-compliance with licence conditions

Compliance with specific water use licence conditions may not be occurring and this may be suspected as being responsible for non-compliance with RQOs and/or RWQOs. In this case, the regulatory procedures described in the Source Management Strategy should be applied.

1.4.3.12 Remediation

Responsibility for costs lies with those who caused the impact. However, when they cannot be made responsible (e.g. cannot be identified), for example in so-called "legacy cases", the Department may need to assume responsibility. Given the inevitable expense of remediation, particularly when groundwater is involved, the need for remediation should be carefully prioritised to ensure cost-effectiveness, based on the following considerations:

- The most desirable time frame for achieving the designated management class.
- The current and intended use of the water resource.
- The positive and negative socio-economic impacts, and
- The precautionary approach.

1.4.4 Water quality monitoring

The objectives of monitoring for resource directed management of water quality are (DWAF, 2005d): To measure, assess and report on a regular basis the status and trends broadly relating to water quality in water resources, and their management, in a manner that will support balanced decision-making and planning in the contexts of fitness for use and aquatic ecosystem integrity in the Catchment Management Agency's quest for sustainable development.

The most pressing programmes will be water quality monitoring programmes that provide information that is directly and immediately useful to water resource managers. These include the following:

- Performance monitoring of RQOs or RWQOs.
- Compliance monitoring of water use licence conditions.
- Baseline monitoring for the ecological Reserve.
- National water quality status and trends monitoring.

More holistic information than just resource quality is required to properly manage (a) the resource, (b) those impacting on the resources and (c) those impacted by the resource. Monitoring that genuinely supports decision-making related to sustainable development must therefore go well beyond just water quality (DWAF, 2005d). The Pressure-State-Impact-Response (PSIR) framework can be used to provide a structure for the broader monitoring required. A phased approach will be important with priority given initially to (a) state monitoring followed by (b) pressure monitoring (or those activities impacting on water quality) and then (c) impact monitoring (of resource, societal and economic impacts of inadequate water quality) and finally (d) response monitoring (referring to the decisive responses of society) which further improves the understanding of the impacts of inadequate water quality.

1.4.5 Capacity creation and maintenance

Two specific dimensions must be addressed in order to create appropriate capacity:

- Time dimension (short-term & long-term).
- Internal-external dimension: Capacity must be created both within the Department and Water Management Institutions (WMIs) and in external stakeholders.

The most demanding of the above two dimensions is the time dimension. There is a desperate need to facilitate better resource directed management of water quality immediately. The strategy must be to move from pragmatic, and perhaps low confidence, decision-making initially to doing things better (with greater confidence) in the longer-term. In effect, the short-term requirements should be met using management instruments that are currently available. However, the long-term strategy must be to move towards more fundamental "knowledge creation".

Knowledge can be defined as the *capacity for informed action*. It should be the ultimate aim to create a "learning environment" within the water sector and within the Department in particular. The learning principles proposed by Roux *et al.* (2006) for good ecosystem governance should form the basis of any detailed capacity creation strategy relating to the resource directed management of water quality. These are summarised as follows: "Good ecosystem governance requires positively persistent and adaptive people with a culture of empathy for other knowledge systems and levels. Their knowledge must be transdisciplinary, moulded by a common future focus, acquired by patiently engaging their prior knowledge and learning by doing in an environment of social knowledge sharing."

Attention must also be given to ensuring that management is sustainable. The empowerment required to achieve this includes objective empowerment (improved opportunities), competence (basic skills) and subjective empowerment (self-confidence). All three must be addressed for a capacity creation strategy to be successful. Training must include a wide variety of conceptual outcomes relating to understanding all facets of water quality and familiarity with currently available management instruments (especially software and other guidelines that can facilitate better water quality management).

Existing training courses relating specifically to resource directed management of water quality should continue to be made available on an annual basis (or on demand based on the level of staff turnover). They should be extended and enhanced as and when necessary. Refresher courses should also be offered.

Communication mechanisms such as the use of posters, pamphlets, and newsletters should be reviewed on an annual basis to (a) create awareness among new staff members and external stakeholders (like other government departments), and (b) maintain awareness among experienced water resource managers.

1.4.6 Action plan

1.4.6.1 Resource water quality objectives

It is important that nationally consistent information be generated relating to water quality that can begin to provide a sound basis for more focused catchment assessments, catchment planning, catchment visioning exercises and ultimately catchment management strategies.

Initial efforts must focus on water resources currently experiencing water quality stress. A software facility exists that helps to determine the degree of water quality stress in watercourses (DWAF, 2005p). This inherently "low-confidence" decision support tool should be used to prioritise such surface water resources on a national basis on the basis of their degree of water quality stress.

The next phase will be to begin the process of determining management objectives that can better focus water resource management in the immediate future. Catchments should be identified in which there are (a) adequate financial and human resources, and (b) commitment to the process from relevant regional offices of the Department or CMAs. The level of confidence required to determine RWQOs should then be assessed (medium or high confidence). The appropriate procedures should then be followed to determine RWQOs at appropriate locations in the water resources (DWAF, 2005i).

Once the RWQOs have been determined, a suitable performance monitoring programme must be implemented as soon as possible. It will also be essential that the necessary source directed controls be identified and that these become firmly embodied in the catchment management strategy.

1.4.6.2 Capacity creation

An initiative should be started that will produce a detailed capacity creation plan that includes the following:

- Explicit recommendations that will move the Department towards becoming a learning organisation.
- Detailed recommendations that expand on the proposed short- and long-term strategy.
- Explicit consideration of the learning principles proposed by Roux et al. (2006).
- Explicit attention to objective and subjective empowerment and increasing basic competencies.
- Resources (financial and human) required for such capacity creation, and
- Detailed time plan that expands on that given in this strategy.

SECTION 2: REFERENCES

- Allan JA, 2005. Virtual Water The water, food and trade nexus. Useful concept or misleading metaphor? Water International, 28(1), 4-11.
- Breen CM, Jaganyi, JJ van Wilgen BW and van Wyk, E, 2004. Research projects and capacity building. Water SA, 30(4), 1-6.
- Cook J, 1997. Empowering people for sustainable development. In Managing Sustainable Development in South Africa. P 279-296. Eds. P Fitzgerald, A Mclennan and B Munslow. Oxford University Press, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Conrad J and C Colvin, 2000. Handbook of Groundwater Quality Protection for Farmers. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 116/00. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Dickens, C, Kotze, D, Mashigo, S, Mackay, HM and Graham, M, 2005. Guidelines for Integrating the Protection, Conservation and Management of Wetlands into Catchment Management Planning. World Wildlife Fund and Water Research Commission Report No. TT 220/05. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 1995. The Procedures to Assess Effluent Discharge Impacts. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 64/94. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 1999. Resource Directed Measures for Protection of Water Resources. Volumes 2-6. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2001a. Generic Public Participation Guidelines. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2001b. A-Z of Public Participation. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2001c. South African Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh Water (2nd edition, 1996) and Coastal Marine Waters (1st edition, 1995). Compact Disk. Water Quality Management Series. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2001d. Non-point Source Assessment Guide. Compiled by Pegram and Görgens. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2001e. Managing the Water Quality Effects of Settlements. The National Strategy. Edition 2, Policy Document U 1.5. Water Quality Management Series. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa. (Available on CD: Managing the Water Quality Effects of Settlements. An Interactive Guide to Implementation.)
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2002. Hazard-Based Water Quality Ecospecs for the Ecological Reserve in Fresh Surface Water Resources. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa. (http://projects.shands.co.za/Hydro/hydro/WQReserve/main.htm)
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2003. Development of Resource Directed Water Quality Management Policies: Draft Implementation Plan. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.8. Edition 1. Pretoria, South Africa.

- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003a. Guideline to the Water Quality Management Component of a Catchment Management Strategy. MS 8.2. 1st Edn. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003b. Draft National Water Quality Management Framework Policy, Water Quality Management Series, Sub-series No. MS 7, Draft 1, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003c. Draft Source Management Strategy. No. M.6.0, 1st edition. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003d. A Conceptual Introduction to the Nature and Content of the Water Quality Management and Assessment Components of Catchment Management Strategies. Water Quality Management Series Sub-Series MS 8.1. 1st Edn. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003e. A Guide to Conduct Water Quality Catchment Assessment Studies: In Support of the Water Quality Management Component of a Catchment Management Strategy. MS 8.3. 1st Edition. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2003f. Managing Water Quality in South Africa. How Users can Participate. Brochure. Department of Water Affairs & Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004a. National Water Resource Strategy. 1st Edition. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004b. The Framework Programme for Education and Training in Water (FETWater). A Guideline. First Edition. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004c. Strategic Framework for National Water Resource Quality Monitoring Programmes. 1st Edition. Compiled by Grobler DC and Ntsaba M. Report No. N/0000/REQ0204. ISBN 0-621-35069-9. Resource Quality Services, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004d. Draft Pricing Strategy. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004e. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Guideline for determining Resource Water Quality Objectives (RWQOs), water quality stress & allocatable water quality. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.3.1. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004f. Resource Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Guideline on the conversion of the SA Water Quality Guidelines to fitness-for-use categories. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.3.2, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004g. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Guideline for setting licence conditions for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.5. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.

- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004h. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Philosophy of Sustainable Development. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004i. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Decision-support instrument for the determination of RWQO, water quality stress, allocatable water quality & end-of-pipe standards. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.3.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004j. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Guideline for Converting RWQOs to Individual End-of-Pipe Standards. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.3.3. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004k. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Decision-support instrument for the Assessment of Considerations for Water Use Applications (ACWUA). Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004l. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Conceptual Review of water use licence applications in the context of the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.1. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005a. A Conceptual Introduction to the Nature and Content of the Water Quality Management and Assessment Components of Catchment Management Strategies. Water Quality Management Series Sub-Series MS 8.1. 1st Edn. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005b. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality Series: Volume 2.2: Institutional Arrangements. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.5.2. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005c. Resource Directed Measures. Module 1. Introductory Module. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005d. The Management of Complex Industrial Wastewater Discharges. Introducing the Direct Estimation of Ecological Effect Potential (DEEEP) Approach. A Discussion Document. Compiled by S Jooste. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2006a. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality Series: Volume 1.2: Policy. Edition 2. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.4.2. Pretoria.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2006b. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Guidelines on Catchment Visioning for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource

- Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.1. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2006c. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality: 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Guidelines for the monitoring and auditing of the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.3. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2006d. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality: Guidelines for Determining Resource Water Quality Objectives. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.2. Edition 2 Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2006i. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality: Guidelines for Determining Resource Water Quality Objectives. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.2. Edition 2 Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004k. The Management of Complex Industrial Wastewater Discharges. Introducing the Direct Estimation of Ecological Effect Potential (DEEEP) Approach. A Discussion Document. Compiled by S Jooste. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004l. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Draft Guideline on pro-forma licence conditions for the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.5. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004m. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Decision-support instrument for the Assessment of Considerations for Water Use Applications (ACWUA). Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005n. Draft Discussion Paper on Cross-Sector Policy Objectives for the Conservation and Management of South Africa's Freshwater Biodiversity. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004o. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series: Conceptual Review of water use licence applications in the context of the Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.1,. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004p. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality 1st Edition Management Instruments Series. Decision-support instrument for the determination of RWQOs, water quality stress, allocatable water quality & end-of-pipe standards. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.6.3.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2004q. Philosophy of Sustainable Development in the Context of Resource Directed Management of Water Quality. Water

- Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.7.4. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005r. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality Series: Volume 2.2: Institutional Arrangements. Water Resource Planning Systems Series, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.5.2. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Pretoria. South Africa.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2003. Development of Resource Directed Water Quality Management Policies: Draft Implementation Plan. Water Resource Planning Systems, Sub-Series No. WQP 1.8. Edition 1. Pretoria.
- Earle, A & AR Turton, 2005. The virtual water trade amongst countries of the SADC. In Virtual Water Trade: Proceedings of the International Experts Meeting on Virtual Water Trade. A Hoekstra (Ed.). Delft, the Netherlands, 12-13 December 2002. Research Report Series No. 12. Delft: IHE. Pp. 183-200.
- ISO 14000. http://www.iso.org.
- Malan H and J Day, 2005. Predicting Water Quality and Biotic Response in Ecological Reserve Determinations. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 202/02. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Miller, WL and L Morris, 1999. 4th Generation R&D Managing Knowledge, Technology, and Innovation. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York.
- MRC, 2003. Medical Research Council Policy Brief. No.3. South Africa.
- Murray R, 2003. Artificial Groundwater Recharge: Wise Water Management for Towns and Cities. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 219/03. Pretoria, South Africa.
- NRC, 2000. How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. National Research Council. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Rogers K and D Henning, 2004. Resource Directed Management of Water Quality Policies: Catchment Visioning. Presentation.
- Roux D, H Mackay and L Hill, 2006. Consolidation and Transfer of Knowledge and Experience Gained in the Development and Implementation of Water and Related Policy in South Africa. WRC Report No 1295/1/04, Water Research Commission, Pretoria, South Africa.
- SABS ISO 14001. South African Standard. Code of Practice. Environmental Management Systems Specification and Guidance for Use. ICS 13.020.10. ISBN 0-626-11012-2. South African Bureau of Standards. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Van Wyk JJ, P Moodley, and P Viljoen, 2003. Towards Balancing Water Resources Protection with Water Resource Use and Development. Integrated Water Quality Management in South Africa. 2nd International Symposium on Integrated Water Resource Management (IWRM): Stellenbosch,
- South Africa.Water Resource Commission (WRC), 1998. Quality of Domestic Water Supplies. Volume 1. Assessment Guide. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 101/98. Pretoria, South Africa.
- Water Resource Commission (WRC), 2006. Discussion Paper on Cross-Sector Policy Objectives for Conserving South Africa's Inland Water Biodiversity. Water Research Commission Report No. TT 276/06. Pretoria, South Africa.